

AGENDA

CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

<http://www.catc.ca.gov>

November 6-7, 2002

Modesto, California

Wednesday, November 6, 2002

2:00 p.m.

**Roundtable with the California Transportation Commission
Hosted by Stanislaus County Council of Governments**

Modesto City/County Building
Basement Training Room
1010 – 10th Street
Modesto, California 95354

Thursday, November 7, 2002

8:00 a.m.

CLOSED SESSION REGARDING LITIGATION, GOV. CODE SEC. 11126(e).

City of Escalon v. Department of Transportation and California Transportation Commission, San Joaquin County Superior Court, Case No. CV 018941

NOTE: The Commission will convene and then move into Closed Session

**8:30 a.m. or upon
adjournment of
Closed Session**

Commission Meeting (One-Day Business Meeting Only)

Modesto City/County Building
Board/Council Chambers
1010 – 10th Street
Modesto, California 95354

NOTICE: Agenda items, other than those assigned a specific time, may be taken up at any time during the one-day Commission meeting.

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
--	-------------------------	---------------	--	----------------

* "A" denotes an "Action" item; "I" denotes an "Information" item; "B" denotes a Business, Transportation and Housing (B,T & H) Agency item; "C" denotes a "Commission" item; "D" denotes a "Department" item; and "R" denotes a Regional Agency item.

GENERAL BUSINESS

1.

- Roll Call 1.(1) I C
- Approval of Minutes for October 3, 2002 Meeting 1.(2) A C
- Commission Reports 1.(3) A C
- Commissioners' Meetings for Compensation 1.(4) A C

INFORMATION CALENDAR

IC

I C

- To Be Determined

CONSENT CALENDAR

CC

A C

- To Be Determined

BUSINESS, TRANSPORTATION AND HOUSING AGENCY REPORT

- Report by Secretary and/or Assistant Secretary 1.(5) I B

CALTRANS REPORT

- Report by Caltrans' Director and/or Deputy Director 1.(6) I D

REGIONAL AGENCIES AND RURAL COUNTIES REPORT

- Report by Regional Agencies Moderator 1.(7) I R
- Report by Rural Counties Task Force Chair 1.(8) I R

PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION MATTERS

- To Be Determined

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<u>PROJECT BUSINESS MATTERS</u>	2.		
	<u>Program Amendments/Project Approvals – STIP Amendments for Action</u>	2.1a.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • STIP Amendment 02S-037 Shifts \$800,000 in Regional Improvement Program (RIP) funds programmed in FY 2002-03 from the Construction phase to the Right of Way phase to rehabilitate and widen Old Arcata Road/Myrtle Avenue between the City of Eureka and the City of Arcata. This amendment also changes the project scope by eliminating the southerly portion of work. There is no change in total funding for this project. The Humboldt County Association of Governments is requesting this amendment. 	2.1a.(1)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • STIP Amendment 02S-038 Deletes \$869,000 in Regional Improvement Program (RIP) funds from three existing local road projects in Inyo County. The deletion of the programmed RIP funds will provide the funding needed for cost increases (totaling \$858,000) on four Inyo County projects allocated by the Commission in October 2002. The Inyo County Local Transportation Commission (LTC) is requesting this amendment. 	2.1a.(2)		A D
	<u>Program Amendments/Project Approvals – STIP Amendments for Notice – No Items This Month</u>	2.1b.		
	<u>Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Program Application Approvals/Amendments</u>	2.1c.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Approvals. One application for \$1,800,000. Resolution TA-02-14 -- Project #11 – \$1,800,000 for Phase 1 for San Francisco Bay Southern Crossing; complete feasibility and financial studies for new San Francisco Bay crossing (new bridge, HOV/Transit bridge or second BART tube) in Alameda and San Francisco or San Mateo Counties. Applicant Agency: Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) Implementing Agency: MTC 	2.1c.(1)		A C/D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Amendment Approval. Five application amendments, no new funding. Resolution TAA-02-10, Amending Resolutions TA-00-01, TA-01-07, TA-01-09, TA-01-11, and TAA-02-02 <p>-- Project #33 – Bus Transit; acquire low-emission buses for Los Angeles County MTA bus transit service. Amend application to update number of buses to be acquired, project schedule, and financial plan. Original TCR application approved under Resolution TA-01-09. The Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority is requesting this amendment.</p> <p>-- Project #54.1 – Alameda Corridor East; build grade separations on Burlington Northern-Santa Fe and Union Pacific Railroad lines, downtown Los Angeles to Los Angeles County line in Los Angeles County. Amend application to update the project schedule and funding plan. Original TCR application approved under Resolution TA-01-11. The Alameda Corridor-East Construction Authority is requesting this amendment.</p> <p>-- Project #97 – Operational improvements on Shaw Avenue, Chestnut Avenue, Willow Avenue, and Barstow Avenue near California State University at Fresno in Fresno County. Of the amount authorized under this paragraph, the sum of two million dollars (\$2,000,000) shall be transferred to the California State University at Fresno for the purposes of funding preliminary plans, working drawings, or both of those, and related program management costs for the Fresno Events Center. Amend application to update the project scope and schedule. Original TCR application approved under Resolution TA-00-01. California State University, Fresno (CSUF) is requesting this amendment.</p> <p>-- Project #123 – Oceanside Transit Center; parking structure. Amend application to update the project schedule and financial plan. Original TCR application approved under Resolution TA-01-07. The City of Oceanside is requesting this amendment.</p> <p>-- Project #139.1 – Balboa Park BART Station; phase I expansion - BART Station Improvements. Amend application to update the project schedule and financial plan. Original TCR application approved under Resolution TA-00-01, as amended by Resolution TAA-02-02. The Bay Area Rapid Transit District is requesting this amendment.</p>	2.1c.(2)		A C/D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Informational Report on Delegated Minor Amendments for Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Program Projects per G-01-23. – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.1c.(3)		I C/D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Amendment Approval. One application amendment, no new funding. Resolution TAA-02-12, Amending Resolution TAA-02-02 	2.1c.(4)		A C/D
	<p>-- Project #10 – Regional Express Bus; acquire low-emission buses for new express service on HOV lanes regionwide. Amend application to adjust the number of buses to be acquired, modify the number of express routes and increase the advance requested for the over-the-road buses. Original TCR application approved under Resolution TA-01-14, as amended by Resolution TAA-02-02. The Metropolitan Transportation Commission is requesting this amendment.</p>			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Approval. One application for \$3,288,000. Resolution TA-02-15 	2.1c.(5)		A C/D
	<p>-- Project #74.5 – \$3,288,000, for Phase 4 for Pacific Surfliner; double track intercity rail line within San Diego County, add maintenance yard in San Diego County – Encinitas Passing Track. Applicant Agency: San Diego North County Transit District (NCTD) Implementing Agency: NCTD</p>			
	<u>Proposition 116 – Non-Urban County Project Approvals/ Amendments – No Items This Month</u>	2.1d.		
	<u>Proposition 116 – Rail Project Approvals/Amendments – No Items This Month</u>	2.1e.		
	<u>Environmental Enhancement and Mitigation (EEM) Application Amendment Approval – No Items This Month</u>	2.1f.		
	<u>Environmental Matters – Notice of Preparation of an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) – No Items This Month</u>	2.2a.		
	<u>Environmental Matters – Comments on Documents in Circulation (Draft EIS/EIR) – No Items This Month</u>	2.2b.		

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<u>Environmental Matters – Approval of Projects for Future Consideration of Funding, Route Adoption or New Public Road Connection (Final Negative Declaration or EIR)</u>	2.2c.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding – Route 120 in Stanislaus County – Construct expressway near Oakdale. (FEIR) Resolution E-02-53 	2.2c.(1)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approval of Project for Future Construction of Funding – Union City BART and UPRR Pedestrian Grade Separation Project, near the existing BART Station in Union City. (FEIR) (TCRP #141) Resolution E-02-54 	2.2c.(2)		A C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approval of Project for Future Construction of Funding – Renovation or rehabilitation of Santa Cruz Metro Center Project, acquisition of property. (ND) (TCRP #150) Resolution E-02-55 	2.2c.(3)		A C
	<u>Highway Route Matters</u>	2.3		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Two Route Adoptions/Rescissions/Redesignations 	2.3a.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- Rescission of Freeway Adoption on Route 135 in Santa Barbara County from PM 10.0 to PM 13.2. Resolution HRU-02-01 	2.3a.(1)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- Route Adoption of Route 4 in Calaveras County from PM R21.1 to PM R23.3. Controlled Access Highway Route Resolution HRA-02-07 	2.3a.(2)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One Relinquishment Resolution 	2.3c.		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- 08-SBd-30-PM 0.0/4.0 Route 30 in the City of Upland Resolution R-3534 			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One Vacation Resolution 	2.3d.		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- 01-Hum-101-PM 86.63/87.18 Route 101 in the City of Arcata Resolution A-849 			

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<u>Highway Right of Way Matters</u>	2.4		
	• Four Resolutions of Necessity – Appearances	2.4a.		
	-- James M. Nelson and Rajeswari S. Nelson 03-BUT-99-PM 39.87 Resolution C-18650	2.4a.(1)	A	D
	-- Tim J. Burgess 08-RIV-91-KP 34.54 Resolution C-18651	2.4a.(2)	A	D
	-- Allen Estate Company 10-AMA-49-KP R11.300 Resolution C-18652	2.4a.(3)	A	D
	-- John B. Allen, et al. 10-AMA-49-KP R8.00/11.450 Resolution C-18653	2.4a.(4)	A	D
	• 27 Resolutions of Necessity Resolutions C-18654 through C-18679 and C-18681	2.4b.	A	D
	• Airspace Lease Direct Negotiations – <u>No Items This Month</u>	2.4c.		
	• Director's Deeds	2.4d		
	-- Six Director's Deeds Items #1 Through #6 Excess Lands - Return to State: \$3,293,374 Return to Others: \$0	2.4d.(1)	A	D
	-- One Director's Deed for Mojave Item #1 (06-Ker-14-p18.20) Excess Lands - Return to State: \$55,000 Return to Others: \$0	2.4d.(2)	A	D
	• Rescinding Resolutions – <u>No Items This Month</u>	2.4e.		
	<u>Highway Financial Matters</u>			
	\$58,224,200 Total State Funds for New Projects			
	\$ 9,003,170 Contributions from Other Sources for New Projects			
	\$67,227,370 Grand Total – New Projects w/ State Participation			
	• Financial Allocations for 15 Minor Projects totaling \$6,889,000. Resolution FP-02-35	2.5a.	A	D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	Financial Allocations for STIP/SHOPP Projects On the State Highway System	2.5b.		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for two STIP Projects on the State Highway System totaling \$9,253,000. Resolution FP-02-36 	2.5b.(1)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for six SHOPP Projects totaling \$19,915,000. Resolution FP-02-37 	2.5b.(2)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for two SHOPP Projects Amended into the SHOPP by Departmental Action for \$1,425,000. Resolution FP-02-38 	2.5b.(3)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for one SHOPP Project Amended into the SHOPP by Departmental Action for \$8,939,000 for Seismic Retrofit of Sacramento DOT Headquarters Office Building. Resolution FP-02-45 	2.5b.(4)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for two Locally Administered State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) Projects totaling \$481,000. Resolution FP-02-39 	2.5b.(5)		A D
	Financial Allocations for Local Assistance STIP Projects On and Off the State Highway System	2.5c.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for five Local Assistance STIP Projects Off the State Highway System totaling \$3,317,000 plus \$2,360,000 from other sources. Resolution FP-02-40 	2.5c.(1)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for one Local Assistance Statewide Transportation Enhancements (STE) Project in Mono County for \$1,000,000, plus \$3,200,000 from other sources. Resolution FP-02-41 	2.5c.(2)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for five Local Assistance Environmental Enhancement and Mitigation (EEM) Projects totaling \$1,184,200, plus \$1,746,170 from other sources. Resolution FP-02-42 	2.5c.(3)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for one Local Assistance STIP Project in San Francisco County for \$5,000,000. Resolution FP-02-43 	2.5c.(4)		A D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for One Local Assistance STIP Advancement Projects in Solano County for \$75,000. Resolution FP-02-44 	2.5c.(5)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for One Local Assistance STIP Advancement Project Off the State Highway System in Madera County for \$746,000 plus \$1,697,000 from other sources. Resolution FP-02-46 	2.5c.(6)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for Projects that Exceed 20 Percent of Their Programmed Amount – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.5d.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for Supplemental Funds for Previously Voted Projects – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.5e.		
	Informational Report on Allocations Under Delegated Authority	2.5f.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Informational Report on Emergency: G-11 Allocations. Ten projects totaling \$11,300,000. 	2.5f.(1)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Informational Report on Seismic Retrofit: (Phase I): G-11 Allocations – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.5f.(2)		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Informational Report on Seismic Retrofit: (Proposition 192, Phase II): G-11 Allocations. One project in San Bernardino County for \$20,888,000. 	2.5f.(3)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Informational Report on SHOPP Rehabilitation/Safety: G-01-10 Allocations. Two projects totaling \$17,719,000. 	2.5f.(4)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Informational Report on Local Assistance Allocation for Local Street and Road Pavement Rehabilitation: G-01-04 Allocations. 18 projects totaling \$9,130,000. 	2.5f.(5)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Informational Report on Local Assistance Allocation for Project Development Components of Local Grant Projects: G-01-03 Allocations. 14 projects totaling \$5,509,000. 	2.5f.(6)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Informational Report on Local Assistance Allocation for Rideshare Projects in the STIP: G-01-07 Allocations. Two projects totaling \$3,505,000. 	2.5f.(7)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Informational Report on Local Assistance Allocations for RSTP/CMAQ Match Reserve Projects: G-01-05 Allocations. 30 projects totaling \$1,310,000. 	2.5f.(8)		I D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
--	-------------------------	---------------	--	----------------

- Local Assistance Allocation for Planning, Programming and Monitoring Activities: G-01-06 Allocations. 12 projects totaling \$5,490,000.

2.5f.(9)

I D

Mass Transportation Financial Matters

\$ 0 Total Traffic Congestion Relief Program (TCRP)
 \$ 6,213,000 Total State Transp. Improvement Program (STIP)
 \$ 500,000 Total Proposition 116 Program Funds
 \$ (0) Total Allocation Amendments
 \$ 6,713,000 Grand Total State Allocations

Financial Allocation for STIP Rail/Transit Projects

2.6a.

- Financial Allocation for four Local STIP Rail/Transit Projects totaling \$3,676,000. Resolution MFP-02-16

2.6a.(1)

A D

- Financial Allocation Advancement for one Local STIP Rail/Transit Project for \$62,000 in Mono County. Resolution MFP-02-17

2.6a.(2)

A D

- Financial Allocation for two STIP Intercity Rail Projects totaling \$2,475,000. Resolution MFP-02-18

2.6a.(3)

A D

- Financial Allocation for Proposition 116 Program Projects – City of Red Bluff Park & Ride Facility. PPNO: 02-2507. EA: T0206B. Red Bluff is requesting the allocation of \$304,695 to construct a Bus & Ride facility. The facility will include the installation of bus shelters, benches, security lighting, information kiosk, bicycle racks, landscaping, and other amenities. Resolution BFP-02-02

2.6b.

A D

- Proposition 116 Allocation Amendments – City of Red Bluff - Red Bluff Park & Ride Facility. PPNO: 02-2507. EA: T0206A. Red Bluff is requesting to de-allocate \$24,695 out of the \$220,000 allocated under BFP-99-11 to close out FTA 02A0332. Red Bluff requests that the \$24,695 be added to \$280,000 that are programmed but not allocated for the construction of the Park & Ride Facility, for a total allocation of \$304,695. Resolution BFA-02-01

2.6c.

A D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for Multi-Program Funded Projects – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.6d.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Project Allocations – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.6e.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Informational Report on Delegated Allocations for Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Program Projects, per Resolution G-02-10. Eight project allocations totaling \$10,950,000. 	2.6f.	I	C/D
	<u>Aeronautics Financial Matters</u>	2.7		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Allocation of \$414,000 for FY 2002-03 California Aid to Airports Program (CAAP) funds for one project from the 2002 Aeronautics Program. Resolution FDOA-2002-10 -- City of Corona—Corona Municipal Airport in Riverside County \$414,000 – Repair/replace runway lights 	2.7	A	D
	<u>Time Extension Requests per CTC Resolution G-01-21, STIP Guidelines, Section 65 – Timely Use of Funds / Proposition 116 Waiver Requests / Miscellaneous Requests</u>	2.8		
	<u>Request to Extend the Period of Project Allocation – No Items This Month</u>	2.8a.		
	<u>Request to Extend the Period of Contract Award</u>	2.8b.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Request to Extend the Period of Contract Award – Three projects in the Cities of Atascadero and Goleta, and the County of Santa Barbara totaling \$2,834,000. Waiver-02-42 	2.8b.(1)	A	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Request to Extend the Period of Contract Award – Post Fact Requests for 35 projects statewide, totaling \$35,251,759. Waiver-02-43 	2.8b.(2)	A	D
	<u>Request to Extend the Period of Project Completion</u>	2.8c.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Request to Extend the Period of Project Completion for 20 months for one local STIP project for the San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District's Advanced Automatic Train Control project, originally allocated \$41,220,000 on July 15, 1999 from PPNOs 04-2112, 04-2121, 04-2139 and 04-2143. Waiver-02-44 	2.8c.	A	D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	Request to Extend the Period of Project Reimbursement – <u>No Items This Month</u>	2.8d.		
	Request for Waiver to Resolution G-99-25, Commission's Guidelines for Allocating, Monitoring, and Auditing of Funds for Local Assistance Projects – <u>No Items This Month</u>	2.8e.		
	Technical Changes to Previously Approved Resolutions/Actions	2.9		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Resolution FP-02-24, originally approved on October 3, 2002 in the amount of \$37,614,000 for seven Major SHOPP projects. Project allocation amount for project #7, EA 274201, from \$6,642,000 to \$5,142,000. 	2.9a.	A	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Resolution MFP-02-09, originally approved on August 22, 2002 for Safety Improvements to Crossing from Moorpark to Simi Valley, Pacific Surfliner Corridor. Change the recipient from Southern California Regional Rail Authority to California Department of Transportation. 	2.9b.	A	D
	<u>PROGRAM STATUS</u>	3.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Caltrans Monthly FY 2002-03 Finance Report 	3.1	I	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monthly Report on Status of Projects Previously Voted but Not Awarded 	3.2	I	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monthly Report on Projects Amended into the SHOPP by Department Action 	3.3	I	D
	<u>POLICY MATTERS</u>	4.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> State Legislative Matters * 	4.1	A	C
	-- Status of Bills			
	<p>*The Commission may consider bills or resolutions newly introduced, recently amended, or calendared for Legislative committee or floor action, subsequent to publication of this agenda. For further information, call Chief Deputy Director Joan Borucki at (916) 653-3148.</p>			

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	• Status Report on Noise Abatement Pilot Study	4.2	I	D
	• Outline for Commission's 2002 Annual Report to Legislature	4.3	A	C
	• Final Report on Transportation Enhancement Activities (TEA) Reform	4.4	A	D/R
	• FY 2002-03 Asset Management Business Plan	4.5	I	D
	• Proposed Annual \$4.25 Million Allocation Set-Aside for the Public Utilities Commission (PUC) Railroad Grade Crossing Protection Maintenance Program for FY 2002-2003 Resolution G-02-____	4.6	A	C
	• Report on Funding Assigned to Conservation Lands (CL) and Statewide Transportation Enhancements (STE) Programs	4.7	A	D
	<u>MODAL ISSUES</u>	5.		
	Rail – <u>No Items This Month</u>	5.1		
	Highways – <u>No Items This Month</u>	5.2		
	Transit	5.3		
	• Progress Report by MTC on Regional Express Low-Emission Bus Service – number and type of buses acquired, delivery/payment schedule, implementation of overall bus service, and regional transit marketing committee efforts.	5.3	I	R
	<u>PRESENTATIONS</u>			
	• To Be Determined			
	<u>OTHER MATTERS / PUBLIC COMMENT</u>	6.		